

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE HIT2 880-04 2959 09/17/2003 Takashi Saito 10/663,778 **EXAMINER** 7590 01/12/2005 MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K Suite 370 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1800 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314 3623

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					In
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/663,778	3	SAITO ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Akiba K Ro	binson-Boyce	3623	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed of	on <u>27 October 2004</u>	ļ,		
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>13-31</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>13-31</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Paper	s				•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	one Cited (DTO 902)		4) Theories Summan	, (PTO_413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)

**Art Unit: 3623** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

1. Due to the communications filed 10/27/04, the following is a final office action. Claims 1-12 have previously been cancelled. Claims 13-17, 19-24, 26-29 and 31 have been amended. Claims 13-31 are pending in this application and have been examined on the merits. The previous rejection has been withdrawn, and the following reflects the claims as amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dev et al (US 4,932,026), in further view of Mason et al (US 4,503,499).

As per claims 13, 22, 27, Dev et al discloses:

A plurality of computers each serving as a workflow server...; (Abstract, lines 2-3, represented by the loci of control, Col. 4, lines 65-68, shows that the loci of control (LC) may be individual hardware processors in a multi processor system/nodes in a network for distributing data and carrying out workflow, therefore, the serving of a workflow server is inherent with Dev et al since the processor is responsible for providing services to the other computers in the network, Col. 6, lines 4-16);

Art Unit: 3623

A storage means for storing a first business process definition including an exit node, said first business process definition defining a first route of... belonging to a first one of said plurality of computers, and a second business process definition including an entrance node, said second business definition defining a second route of... belonging to a second one of said plurality of computers, (Fig. 3, [105], [where it is shown that processing descriptors are stored in a data file, Col. 24, lines 8-12, where the order of loci is defined by the processing descriptor, which represents the definition of the exit and entrance nodes], Col. 24, line 59 and lines 63-66, [where the first business process definition is represented by the data descriptor in the first plurality of loci of control, and the second business process definition is represented by the means in each locus of the second plurality of loci responsive to the data descriptor], also, Col. 24. lines 52-55 and line 59. Fig. 1, [where the interpreter of the first loci, 109(a) stores the data descriptor information of the package at the first loci for processing purposes], Col. 23, lines 60-64, [where the second business process definition is represented by the processing descriptor associated with the data which specifies a second plurality of loci, and the computer is represented by the locil, Col. 23, lines 60-64, and col. 23, line 67-Col. 24. line 2. [where the interpreter of the second loci utilizes the data descriptor information of the package at the second loci for processing purposes). In this case, it is obvious that the descriptors [definitions] are stored at each locus of control since the descriptors must be processed there. Also, the computer is represented by the locus of control, and the business process definition is represented by the data descriptor], Col. 19, lines 7-22, shows the implementation of a business process definition).

Art Unit: 3623

An information generation means for generating/generating coalition information/a process of generating coalition information providing correspondence between said exit node of said first business process definition, and said entrance node of said second business process definition, (Col. 23, line 67-Col. 24, [where the generation of the coalition information occurs by the interpreter means executing a portion of the processing descriptor which is specified for a particular locus]);

...a passing control means for/ a process of passing said data from said exit node of said first business process definition,...to said entrance node of said second business process definition, based upon said coalition information, so that said data is passed through a route comprising said first route and said second route/passing said data from said exit node of said second business process definition, (Col. 24, lines 60-66. [represented by means for routing to a second plurality of the first plurality of loci]).

Dev et al fails to disclose and accommodating a plurality of client terminals each operative as one of nodes on the workflow route, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6, lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

and accommodating a plurality of client terminals each operative as one of nodes on the workflow route, (col. 1, lines 46-52, shows a plurality of user terminals). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that each individual can perform desired tasks via data processing techniques.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to accommodate a plurality of client terminals each operative as

**Art Unit: 3623** 

one of nodes on the workflow route with the motivation of implementing the workflow process amongst a plurality of clients.

Dev et al fails to disclose passing data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data among a second group of client terminals, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6,lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

passing data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data among a second group of client terminals, (Col. 5, lines 51-63, ultimately breaking effort down into groups and assigning a person to this group). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of making route specification files.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to pass data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data among a second group of client terminals with the motivation of distribute data amongst specific users.

Dev et al fails to disclose wherein said first one of said plurality of computers includes passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6, lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

Wherein said first one of said plurality of computers includes passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding, (Fig. 1, shows that all work station processors are connected via network, therefore validating the passing of

Art Unit: 3623

information between the first one and the second one of these computers). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that data can be shared between computers in the network.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the first one of said plurality of computers to include passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding with the motivation of allowing computers in the network to share data.

As per claims 14, 15, Dev et al discloses:

Wherein each of said first business process definition and said second business process definition is stored in the individual storage means/an allocating means for allocating said first business process definition and said second business process definition to different computers, (Fig. 1, where each computer is represented by the locus of control and the business definitions are utilized by the interpreter for processing the data by executing a portion of the processing descriptor. In this case, it is obvious that the descriptors [definitions] are stored at each locus of control since the descriptors must be processed there [see Col. 23, line 67-Col. 24, line 2]).

Dev et al fails to disclose storage means associated with said first and said second computers respectively, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6, lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

storage means associated with said first and said second computers respectively, (Col. 40, lines 54-58, storage means for each individual). Mason et al

Art Unit: 3623

discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that data can be individually stored.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have storage means associated with said first and said second computers respectively with the motivation of providing a memory location of each individual work station.

As per claims 16, 21, 23, 26, 28, 31, Dev et al discloses:

A plurality of computers each serving as a workflow server...; (Abstract, lines 2-3, represented by the loci of control, Col. 4, lines 65-68, shows that the loci of control (LC) may be individual hardware processors in a multi processor system/nodes in a network for distributing data and carrying out workflow, therefore, the serving of a workflow server is inherent with Dev et al since the processor is responsible for providing services to the other computers in the network, Col. 6, lines 4-16);

A storage means for storing a first business process definition/storing a first business process definition/a process of storing a first business process definition including a call node and a return node, said first business process definition defining a first route of passing data ... to a first one of said plurality of computers, and a second business process definition including an entrance node and an exit node, said second business process definition defining a second route of passing data... to a second one of said plurality of computers, (Fig. 3, [105], [where it is shown that processing descriptors are stored in a data file], Col. 24, lines 8-12, [where the order of loci is defined by the processing descriptor, which represents the definition of the call and entrance nodes],

Art Unit: 3623

Col. 24, line 59 and lines 63-66, [where the first business process definition is represented by the data descriptor in the first plurality of loci of control, and the second business process definition is represented by the means in each locus of the second plurality of loci responsive to the data descriptor, where the examiner is interpreting that the call node is the node in which data starts out, which corresponds to the return node since the data is being routed back to the same set of loci, also Dev et al discloses that the locus of control can correspond directly to a portion of a physical system in Col. 1, lines 17-19], Col. 24, lines 52-55 and line 59, Fig. 1, [where the interpreter of the first loci, 109(a) stores the data descriptor information of the package at the first loci for processing purposes. In this case, it is obvious that the descriptors [definitions] are stored at each locus of control since the descriptors must be processed there. Also, the computer is represented by the locus of control, and the business process definition is represented by the data descriptor], Col. 23, lines 60-64, [represented by the processing descriptor associated with the data which specifies a second plurality of loci, and the computer is represented by the loci, where the examiner is interpreting that the entrance node and the exit node correspond with one another since they are on the same set of loci and therefore represent the same node], Col. 23, lines 60-64, and col. 23, line 67-Col. 24, line 2, where the interpreter of the second loci utilizes the data descriptor information of the package at the second loci for processing purposes. In this case, it is obvious that the descriptors [definitions] are stored at each locus of control since the descriptors must be processed there. Also, the computer is represented by the locus of control, and the business process definition is represented by the data descriptor]);

Art Unit: 3623

A coalition information generation means for generating correspondence/generating a coalition information for giving a correspondence/ a process for generating a coalition information for giving a correspondence between said call node of said first business process definition, and said entrance node of said second business and for generating correspondence between said exit node of said second business process definition, and said return node of said first business process definition, (Col. 23, line 67-Col. 24, [where the generation of the coalition information occurs by the interpreter means executing a portion of the processing descriptor which is specified for a particular locus], Col. 16, lines 21-29, [where the return node corresponds to the entrance node of the first node of the first business definition which is represented by the business definition of the first plurality of loci]);

... a passing control means for passing said data/passing said data from said call node of said first business process definition, ... to said entrance node of said second business process definition and for receiving said data at said return node of said first business process definition, from said exit node of said second business process definition, based upon said coalition information, so that said data is passed through a route including said first route and said second route/an interface information passing means for passing interface information relating to said call node and said return node of said first business process definition, (Col. 24, lines 60-66, , [represented by means for routing to a second plurality of the first plurality of loci]);

Dev et al fails to disclose and accommodating a plurality of client terminals each operative as one of nodes on the workflow route, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6,lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

and accommodating a plurality of client terminals each operative as one of nodes on the workflow route, (col. 1, lines 46-52, shows a plurality of user terminals). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that each individual can perform desired tasks via data processing techniques.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to accommodate a plurality of client terminals each operative as one of nodes on the workflow route with the motivation of implementing the workflow process amongst a plurality of clients.

Dev et al fails to disclose passing data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data among a second group of client terminals, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6,lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

passing data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data among a second group of client terminals, (Col. 5, lines 51-63, ultimately breaking effort down into groups and assigning a person to this group). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of making route specification files.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to pass data among a first group of client terminals/ passing data

Art Unit: 3623

among a second group of client terminals with the motivation of distribute data amongst specific users.

Dev et al fails to disclose wherein said first one of said plurality of computers includes passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6, lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

Wherein said first one of said plurality of computers includes passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding, (Fig. 1, shows that all work station processors are connected via network, therefore validating the passing of information between the first one and the second one of these computers). Mason et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that data can be shared between computers in the network.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention for the first one of said plurality of computers to include passing...to said second one of said plurality of computers corresponding with the motivation of allowing computers in the network to share data.

As per claims 17, 24, 29, Dev et al discloses:

A display device controlled by a computer/a step performed by a display device controlled by said computer/a process performed by a display device for displaying a business process definition defining a route of passing data, said display device

Art Unit: 3623

displaying said call node in a display mode different from display modes of other nodes, (Col. 11, lines 20-33, [displays a screen about task]).

As per claims 19, 20, Dev et al discloses:

Wherein each of said first business process definition and said second business process definition is stored in the individual storage means .../an allocating means for allocating said first business process definition and said second business process definition to different computers, (Fig. 1, [where each computer is represented by the locus of control and the business definitions are utilized by the interpreter for processing the data by executing a portion of the processing descriptor. In this case, it is obvious that the descriptors [definitions] are stored at each locus of control since the descriptors must be processed there [see Col. 23, line 67-Col. 24, line 2]]).

Dev et al fails to disclose storage means associated with said first and said second computers respectively, but does disclose a network that includes a user work station for initiating flow of information in col. 6, lines 4-16.

However, Mason et al discloses:

storage means associated with said first and said second computers respectively, (Col. 40, lines 54-58, storage means for each individual). Mason et al. discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that data can be individually stored.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have storage means associated with said first and said second

Art Unit: 3623

computers respectively with the motivation of providing a memory location of each individual work station.

As per claims 18, 25, 30, Dev et al discloses:

Wherein said call node and said return node are different nodes, (Col. 1, lines 19-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the call node and return node to be different nodes with the motivation of distinguishing the different nodes apart from each other. In addition, in a distributed network environment it is common and traditional practice to have each node in separate locations.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/663,778 Page 14

Art Unit: 3623

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Akiba K Robinson-Boyce whose telephone number is 703-305-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 8:30 am-5pm, and Wednesday, 8:30 am-12:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 703-305-9643. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7238 [After final communications, labeled "Box AF"], 703-746-7239 [Official Communications], and 703-746-7150 [Informal/Draft Communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

alb

A. R. B.

January 5, 2005

TARIQ R. HAPIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600